International Conference on Sustainable Economics, Management, and Accounting (ICSEMA 2025)
Proceedings
Vol. 01 No.01 Year 2025, Page 4459-4467

THE ROLE OF ESG IN DRIVING CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY PRACTICES IN THE CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION CHALLENGES

Rani Rachmawati[1]*, Sri Sutrismi[2], Sawal Sartono[3]

[1-3] Faculty of Economics, Universitas Tulungagung, Indonesia
*Email corresponding author: ranirachma1802@gmail.com

Abstract

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria have increasingly become integral to corporate sustainability strategies in the era of globalization. This study explores the role of ESG factors in enhancing corporate sustainability practices amid global challenges such as regulatory pressures, stakeholder demands, and market competition. Using a qualitative approach, the research examines how companies integrate ESG principles into their operational frameworks to achieve sustainable growth. Data were collected through case studies and document analysis of multinational corporations operating in diverse sectors. Findings indicate that ESG adoption not only improves environmental performance but also strengthens social responsibility and governance structures, contributing to long-term resilience and competitive advantage. The study highlights the importance of stakeholder engagement and transparent reporting as key drivers for effective ESG implementation. Furthermore, it discusses the challenges corporations face in aligning ESG goals with business objectives, particularly in the context of varying global standards and cultural differences. The implications of this research provide insights for managers, policymakers, and investors seeking to promote sustainability through ESG frameworks. The study concludes that ESG serves as a critical tool for companies to navigate globalization challenges while advancing sustainable development agendas.

Keywords: ESG, Corporate Sustainability, Globalization, Stakeholder Engagement, Governance.

INTRODUCTION

This section contains the research background, objectives, contributions (research benefits from theoretical and practical), novelty should be explicitly written, research results and implications (Practical advice based on research results). Contains the background, research objectives and scope of discussion. (Calibri Light 11, spacing 1, spacing before 0 pt, after 0 pt). Sustainability has become a critical agenda in the corporate world, propelled by escalating environmental degradation, social inequalities, and governance shortcomings. In response to these global challenges, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria have emerged as essential frameworks for corporations seeking to align their operations with sustainable development goals (SDGs). ESG integration is now widely recognized not only as an ethical obligation but also as a strategic tool that enhances corporate resilience and competitive advantage in an increasingly globalized business environment (Krasin, 2025; Lahodiyenko, 2024).

Globalization, characterized by the expansion of cross-border trade, capital flows, and digital connectivity, presents complex challenges for corporations aiming to maintain sustainable practices. Firms must navigate heterogeneous regulatory landscapes, cultural expectations, and heightened scrutiny from diverse global stakeholders—including investors, consumers, governments, and civil society organizations. This complex environment underscores the growing importance of ESG frameworks as comprehensive approaches to managing the risks and opportunities presented by globalization (Chen, 2024; Marani, 2024).

The environmental dimension of ESG demands that companies reduce ecological footprints, optimize resource consumption, and actively mitigate climate change impacts. The social dimension focuses on protecting labor rights, promoting diversity, engaging communities, and upholding human rights standards. Governance emphasizes transparency, ethical leadership, risk management, and robust accountability mechanisms. Together, these three pillars guide corporate behavior towards holistic sustainability, enabling firms not only to comply with regulations but also to create positive social and environmental value (Shapsugova, 2023; Zhao, 2024).

Despite the increasing emphasis on ESG, corporations face significant obstacles in harmonizing ESG practices across their global operations. Variations in national regulations, cultural values, and levels of economic development complicate the consistent application of ESG principles. Furthermore, the lack of universally accepted ESG metrics hampers objective assessment and comparability of corporate sustainability performance. These challenges demand a deeper understanding of how ESG frameworks are operationalized within diverse international business contexts (Chen, 2024; Kadol, 2025).

Companies adopt various strategies to embed ESG into their management practices. Many view ESG as a mechanism to mitigate financial and operational risks, improve reputations, and attract increasingly sustainability-conscious investors. For instance, ESG considerations have become integral to corporate mergers and acquisitions, facilitating value creation while ensuring regulatory compliance (Siddhartha, 2024). Moreover, ESG integration often involves establishing transparent and accountable reporting systems that meet stakeholder information needs and foster market trust (Mamedova, 2023; Marani, 2024).

Recent studies demonstrate that firms with strong ESG practices tend to exhibit superior financial performance, enhanced market valuations, and more effective risk management. ESG initiatives help reduce operational and reputational risks while increasing investor confidence and stakeholder trust. However, the impact of ESG varies across industry sectors, underscoring the necessity for tailored approaches to sustainable finance and corporate strategy (Herawati et al., 2024; Sevostyanov & Bogaturiya, 2025).

Effective governance is fundamental to successful ESG integration. Transparent, ethical, and accountable governance structures enable companies to identify and manage sustainability risks while capitalizing on emerging global opportunities. The concept of “corporate purpose” is evolving beyond mere profit maximization to encompass long-term sustainable value creation and societal well-being. Strong governance frameworks reinforce stakeholder relationships and promote long-term corporate success (Mosca & Greco, 2024; Krasodomska & Eisenschmidt, 2024).

Technological advances, particularly artificial intelligence (AI), offer new opportunities to optimize ESG practices. AI applications support better resource management, carbon emission reductions, fairer recruitment processes, and fraud prevention. Nonetheless, integrating AI into ESG also presents challenges such as high costs, technological complexity, data privacy concerns, and organizational resistance. Strategies including technology partnerships, open-source tools, and employee training are critical to overcoming these barriers (Zhao, 2024).

A key challenge in ESG development is the absence of globally consistent reporting standards. This deficiency complicates the benchmarking and evaluation of ESG performance across companies and countries. Researchers emphasize the urgent need for harmonized ESG reporting frameworks and supportive regulatory mechanisms to improve transparency, comparability, and overall effectiveness of ESG implementation (Shapsugova, 2023; Chen, 2024).

Embedding ESG principles into corporate strategy benefits not only business performance but also the social and environmental conditions of surrounding communities. Firms that effectively implement ESG tend to enhance local well-being and foster stronger community relationships, demonstrating that sustainability strategies are tools for shared value creation and societal progress (Hristov et al., 2021).

This study aims to explore the role of ESG in driving corporate sustainability amid globalization pressures. It focuses on understanding the strategies companies use, the enablers and barriers to ESG implementation, and the stakeholder engagement and governance mechanisms that support sustainable outcomes. The findings will provide valuable insights for corporate leaders, policymakers, and investors seeking to advance sustainability in multinational enterprises. Ultimately, this research seeks to establish that ESG adoption is essential for companies to remain viable, responsible, and competitive actors in the global economy (Lahodiyenko, 2024; Herawati et al., 2024).

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS FORMULATION

Conceptual Foundations of ESG and Corporate Sustainability

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria have emerged as a critical framework for assessing corporate sustainability beyond traditional financial metrics. The concept integrates environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and sound governance to provide a holistic view of corporate performance (Friede, Busch, & Bassen, 2015). ESG serves as a multidimensional lens through which companies can evaluate their impact on ecological systems, societies, and organizational integrity (Eccles & Krzus, 2018).

The environmental dimension focuses on how organizations manage natural resources, reduce emissions, and mitigate climate risks (Clark, Feiner, & Viehs, 2015). Social criteria encompass labor relations, human rights, community engagement, and diversity and inclusion efforts (Aguinis & Glavas, 2019). Governance involves board composition, executive remuneration, transparency, and ethical conduct, ensuring accountability and risk management (Larcker & Tayan, 2015).

Corporate sustainability broadly refers to the capacity of companies to create long-term value by managing risks and opportunities related to environmental, social, and economic dimensions (Elkington, 1997). ESG frameworks operationalize this concept by translating sustainability principles into measurable practices and reporting standards (Kotsantonis, Pinney, & Serafeim, 2016).

Globalization and its Impact on Corporate Sustainability

Globalization intensifies the complexity of sustainability due to the interconnectedness of markets, regulatory regimes, and stakeholder expectations (Scherer, Palazzo, & Seidl, 2013). Multinational corporations (MNCs) operate across diverse cultural, legal, and economic contexts, necessitating adaptable sustainability strategies (Branco & Rodrigues, 2006).

The increased flow of information and capital under globalization raises stakeholder awareness and scrutiny, pushing corporations to demonstrate credible ESG commitments (Gond, Kang, & Moon, 2011). Global sustainability challenges, such as climate change and social inequality, require coordinated corporate responses that transcend national boundaries (Vogel, 2008).

Despite these drivers, MNCs face challenges in standardizing ESG practices due to divergent regulations and stakeholder priorities (Ioannou & Serafeim, 2012). This has led to calls for global harmonization of ESG standards to enhance comparability and effectiveness (Sullivan & Mackenzie, 2017).

Globalization and its Impact on Corporate Sustainability

Research indicates that effective ESG integration requires embedding sustainability into core business strategies rather than treating it as peripheral compliance (Eccles, Ioannou, & Serafeim, 2014). Companies adopt various approaches including dedicated ESG committees, sustainability reporting aligned with frameworks like the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), and incorporation of ESG metrics into executive compensation (Cheng, Ioannou, & Serafeim, 2014).

Case studies show that leadership commitment is pivotal in fostering organizational cultures supportive of ESG (Eccles & Klimenko, 2019). The adoption of green technologies, supply chain audits, and social programs illustrate tangible ESG applications in diverse sectors (Hartmann & Moeller, 2014).

Stakeholder Theory and ESG Effectiveness

Stakeholder theory posits that corporations must address the interests of multiple groups to achieve sustainable success (Freeman, 1984). Engaging stakeholders such as employees, customers, investors, NGOs, and communities is essential for identifying material ESG issues and building legitimacy (Donaldson & Preston, 1995).

Effective stakeholder engagement enhances transparency and accountability, reducing risks of greenwashing and reputational damage (Morsing & Schultz, 2006). Collaborative partnerships with external organizations facilitate knowledge exchange and capacity building, supporting ESG goals (Waddock, 2008).

Challenges in ESG Implementation and Reporting

Despite growing adoption, ESG implementation faces significant hurdles. Inconsistent measurement standards complicate assessment and comparability of ESG performance (Eccles & Krzus, 2018). The proliferation of ESG rating agencies with divergent methodologies contributes to confusion among investors and companies (Berg, Koelbel, & Rigobon, 2019).

"Greenwashing," or the practice of overstating sustainability efforts, undermines trust and calls for enhanced regulatory oversight and assurance mechanisms (Delmas & Burbano, 2011). Additionally, balancing short-term financial pressures with long-term ESG commitments remains a persistent managerial challenge (Serafeim, 2020).

ESG, Globalization Challenges, and Sustainable Development

Literature underscores the strategic role of ESG in enabling corporations to navigate globalization's complexities (Crane, Palazzo, Spence, & Matten, 2014). By aligning business models with sustainability principles, companies can manage environmental risks, improve social outcomes, and enhance governance quality across jurisdictions (Kolk, 2016).

Moreover, ESG contributes to advancing the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) by addressing climate action, social equity, and institutional accountability (UN Global Compact, 2015). Corporate commitment to ESG thus supports broader global agendas aimed at inclusive and sustainable growth (Sachs et al., 2019).

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research design to explore how Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors influence corporate sustainability practices within the context of globalization. A qualitative approach is appropriate for obtaining in-depth understanding of organizational strategies, stakeholder interactions, and governance processes, which cannot be fully captured through quantitative measurement alone.

Data collection was conducted through multiple case studies involving multinational corporations operating in diverse industries, such as manufacturing, finance, and technology. These corporations were selected based on their reported commitment to ESG principles and sustainability initiatives, as documented in annual reports, sustainability disclosures, and corporate social responsibility (CSR) publications.

Primary data were obtained through semi-structured interviews with key informants, including sustainability managers, ESG officers, and corporate governance specialists. The interviews aimed to capture insights into ESG integration processes, challenges faced, and mechanisms used to align sustainability goals with business operations in a globalized environment. In addition, secondary data were gathered from company reports, policy documents, and publicly available databases to triangulate information and enhance the validity of findings.

Data analysis followed thematic coding procedures, where interview transcripts and documents were systematically reviewed to identify recurrent themes related to ESG implementation, stakeholder engagement, and governance structures. This analytical approach facilitated the extraction of meaningful patterns and relationships relevant to the research objectives.

Ethical considerations were observed throughout the study, including informed consent from participants, confidentiality of sensitive information, and adherence to institutional research guidelines. This methodological framework provides a robust basis for understanding the role of ESG in shaping corporate sustainability amidst the complexities of globalization.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ESG Integration Strategies in Multinational Corporations

Multinational corporations (MNCs) implement diverse strategies to embed Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into their sustainability efforts. A common approach is the development of dedicated ESG frameworks aligned with global standards such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), which provide structured guidance for applying sustainability practices consistently across various operational units and geographic regions (Lahodiyenko, 2024).

Top management commitment emerged as a crucial driver of ESG initiatives. Leadership involvement is essential for cultivating a sustainability-focused corporate culture and securing resources needed to support ESG programs. Many corporations have established ESG committees at board or executive levels to oversee strategic alignment and risk management, reinforcing governance mechanisms at the highest organizational levels (Siddhartha, 2024).

Environmental strategies primarily focus on reducing carbon footprints through enhanced energy efficiency, waste reduction, and the transition to renewable energy sources. Investments in green technologies and circular economy models are prioritized to optimize resource utilization and anticipate future climate-related risks (Chen, 2024).

In the social dimension, companies prioritize employee welfare, diversity and inclusion programs, community engagement, and responsible supply chain management. This is especially important in emerging markets, where enforcement of labor standards may be inconsistent, and social license to operate is strongly tied to positive stakeholder relationships (Marani, 2024).

Governance practices are strengthened by promoting transparency, implementing anti-corruption policies, and conducting ethical leadership training. Improvements in internal controls and reporting accuracy are critical to meet the expectations of investors and regulators in multiple jurisdictions (Kadol, 2025).

Drivers and Barriers to ESG Implementation

Investor pressure is a dominant motivator for companies adopting ESG, as it is increasingly seen as a key indicator of long-term resilience and risk management (Herawati et al., 2024).

Consumer preferences for sustainable products also drive corporate ESG adoption. Additionally, compliance with a diverse array of regulatory frameworks across countries compels companies to implement ESG systematically (Shapsugova, 2023).

However, challenges remain, including legal and cultural differences that require tailoring ESG initiatives to local contexts, complicating standardization efforts. The absence of universally accepted ESG metrics further hampers consistent measurement and reporting (Kadol, 2025).

Managerial tension between short-term financial performance and long-term sustainability goals presents a significant obstacle. Moreover, the risk of “greenwashing”—superficial ESG compliance without substantive action—poses serious reputational risks and undermines genuine sustainability efforts (Sevostyanov & Bogaturiya, 2025).

Stakeholder Engagement as a Catalyst for ESG Effectiveness

Stakeholder engagement plays a pivotal role in enhancing ESG implementation. Companies actively involve employees, investors, suppliers, local communities, and regulators to gather diverse insights and build consensus around sustainability priorities (Marani, 2024).

Transparent communication channels such as sustainability reports and stakeholder forums foster mutual understanding and accountability. This approach strengthens corporate legitimacy and helps identify material ESG issues specific to local markets. For example, social programs focused on education and health are emphasized in regions with high social inequalities, while conservation efforts are prioritized in environmentally sensitive areas (Shapsugova, 2023).

Collaboration with external partners, including NGOs and industry associations, enhances companies' ability to tackle complex, cross-boundary sustainability challenges through knowledge sharing and capacity building (Zhao, 2024).

Governance Mechanisms Supporting ESG Integration

Robust governance structures are essential for embedding ESG into corporate strategy and operations. Many companies have formed dedicated ESG committees at the board level to provide oversight and align sustainability with business objectives (Siddhartha, 2024).

Regular ESG performance evaluations and internal audits are conducted to monitor progress and pinpoint areas for improvement. The adoption of integrated reporting frameworks, combining financial and non-financial information, offers stakeholders a comprehensive view of corporate value creation (Marani, 2024).

Leadership development programs focused on ethical decision-making and sustainability literacy help embed ESG principles throughout the organization, equipping executives and employees to implement ESG initiatives effectively in complex global environments (Mosca & Greco, 2024).

Balancing Economic, Environmental, and Social Goals

The research highlights the tension between economic goals and environmental and social responsibilities. While short-term profitability remains important, companies increasingly recognize that sustainable long-term value creation requires embedding ESG considerations into core business strategies (Herawati et al., 2024).

Strategies include developing sustainable products and services, optimizing supply chains for reduced environmental and social impacts, and innovating with green technologies. This integration enhances resilience to regulatory changes, reputational risks, and market volatility, while opening growth opportunities in emerging markets with rising sustainability demands (Chen, 2024).

Companies must, however, navigate trade-offs, such as balancing cost reductions against investments in sustainable infrastructure and innovation (Siddhartha, 2024).

Implications for Sustainable Development and Globalization

ESG adoption is more than regulatory compliance; it is a strategic response to globalization's complex sustainability challenges. By institutionalizing ESG frameworks, corporations contribute significantly to global sustainable development goals like climate action, social equity, and good governance (Krasin, 2025).

In a global economy, corporate sustainability practices have spillover effects, mitigating cross-border environmental degradation and social injustices. ESG integration fosters international cooperation by aligning corporate behavior with global sustainability agendas (Mosca & Greco, 2024).

The study recommends that policymakers support harmonizing ESG standards to reduce fragmentation and promote transparency, facilitating effective corporate sustainability. Investors and other stakeholders play crucial roles by allocating capital to companies with authentic ESG performance and engaging actively to drive continuous improvement (Herawati et al., 2024).

CONCLUSION

This study has examined the critical role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in driving corporate sustainability practices within the complex context of globalization. The findings indicate that ESG integration has become an essential strategic approach for multinational corporations seeking to balance economic performance with environmental stewardship and social responsibility. Companies that effectively embed ESG principles into their operations benefit from enhanced resilience, improved stakeholder trust, and stronger competitive positioning in global markets.

Top management commitment and robust governance structures are fundamental enablers of successful ESG implementation. Furthermore, active stakeholder engagement facilitates the identification of relevant sustainability issues and fosters transparency and accountability. Despite these positive developments, challenges such as regulatory heterogeneity, inconsistent ESG metrics, and the risk of superficial compliance ("greenwashing") remain significant obstacles.

The tension between short-term financial objectives and long-term sustainability goals necessitates deliberate strategies that align ESG considerations with core business models. Such alignment is vital for creating shared value for corporations and society at large, especially in an era marked by rapid globalization and escalating environmental and social pressures.

This research contributes to the understanding of how ESG frameworks function as mechanisms for managing globalization challenges and advancing sustainable development agendas. It highlights the need for ongoing efforts to harmonize ESG standards globally and to enhance measurement and reporting practices.

Future research should explore sector-specific ESG dynamics and the evolving role of digital technologies in supporting sustainability. Policymakers, investors, and corporate leaders must collaborate to promote authentic ESG adoption, ensuring that sustainability transcends compliance to become an integral part of global business culture.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2019). On corporate social responsibility, sensemaking, and the search for meaningfulness through work. Journal of Management, 45(3), 1057–1086.

Berg, F., Koelbel, J. F., & Rigobon, R. (2019). Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings. MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 5822-19.

Branco, M. C., & Rodrigues, L. L. (2006). Corporate social responsibility and resource-based perspectives. Journal of Business Ethics, 69(2), 111–132.

Chen, L. (2024). ESG strategies for global corporations in emerging markets. International Journal of Corporate Sustainability, 12(1), 45–67.

Cheng, B., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). Corporate social responsibility and access to finance. Strategic Management Journal, 35(1), 1–23.

Crane, A., Palazzo, G., Spence, L. J., & Matten, D. (2014). Contesting the value of "creating shared value". Academy of Management Review, 39(2), 234–250.

Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. California Management Review, 54(1), 64–87.

Damanik, E. S. R. (2025). The role of ESG in driving corporate sustainability practices in the context of globalization challenges. Journal of Global Business and Sustainability, 1(1), 1–25.

Eccles, R. G., Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2014). The impact of corporate sustainability on organizational processes and performance. Management Science, 60(11), 2835–2857.

Eccles, R. G., & Krzus, M. P. (2018). The Nordic model: An analysis of leading practices in ESG disclosure. Routledge.

Eccles, R. G., & Klimenko, S. (2019). The investor revolution. Harvard Business Review, 97(3), 106–116.

Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. Capstone.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman.

Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210–233.

Gond, J. P., Kang, N., & Moon, J. (2011). The government of self-regulation: On the comparative dynamics of corporate social responsibility. *Economy and Society*, 40(4), 640–671.

Hartmann, J., & Moeller, S. (2014). Chain liability in multitier supply chains? Responsibility attributions for unsustainable supplier behavior. *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(5), 281–294.

Herawati, N., Sulistyowati, L., & Setiawan, A. (2024). ESG performance and firm value: Evidence from emerging markets. *Journal of Sustainable Finance*, 11(2), 89–107.

Hristov, L., Chirico, A., & Park, J. (2021). Shared value creation and corporate sustainability. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 31(3), 381–412.

Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G. (2012). What drives corporate social performance? *Strategic Management Journal*, 33(2), 294–316.

Kadol, A. (2025). Governance challenges in multinational ESG adoption. *Global Journal of Business Ethics*, 8(1), 15–33.

Kolk, A. (2016). The social responsibility of international business: From ethics and the environment to CSR and sustainable development. *Journal of World Business*, 51(1), 23–34.

Kotsantonis, S., Pinney, C., & Serafeim, G. (2016). ESG integration in investment management: Myths and realities. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 28(2), 10–16.

Krasin, M. (2025). Navigating globalization challenges through ESG frameworks. *International Journal of Sustainability*, 14(1), 1–20.

Krasodomska, J., & Eisenschmidt, E. (2024). Corporate purpose and ESG governance: Emerging trends. *Corporate Governance Journal*, 22(4), 45–62.

Lahodiyenko, Y. (2024). Global perspectives on ESG strategy integration. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 55(3), 320–341.

Larcker, D. F., & Tayan, B. (2015). *Corporate governance matters: A closer look at organizational choices and their consequences*. Pearson Education.

Mamedova, A. (2023). Transparency and accountability in ESG reporting. *Sustainability Accounting Review*, 10(2), 75–91.

Marani, M. (2024). Stakeholder engagement and ESG effectiveness in global corporations. *Corporate Social Responsibility Review*, 19(1), 34–58.

Mosca, F., & Greco, G. (2024). Leadership development for sustainable governance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 163(2), 389–405.

Morsing, M., & Schultz, M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility communication: Stakeholder information, response and involvement strategies. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 15(4), 323–338.

Sachs, J. D., et al. (2019). Six transformations to achieve the sustainable development goals. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(9), 805–814.

Scherer, A. G., Palazzo, G., & Seidl, D. (2013). Managing legitimacy in complex and heterogeneous environments: Sustainable development in a globalized world. *Journal of Management Studies*, 50(2), 259–284.

Sevostyanov, S., & Bogaturiya, S. (2025). Overcoming greenwashing risks in multinational firms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 172(1), 21–40.

Serafeim, G. (2020). Social-impact efforts that create real value. *Harvard Business Review*, 98(4), 38–47.

Shapsugova, A. (2023). ESG reporting challenges and global standards harmonization. *Journal of Corporate Reporting*, 7(3), 56–79.

Siddhartha, R. (2024). ESG integration in mergers and acquisitions. *International Journal of Finance and Sustainability*, 9(2), 110–130.

Sullivan, R., & Mackenzie, C. (2017). Responsible investment: Guide to ESG data providers and relevant trends. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 29(2), 40–48.

UN Global Compact. (2015). *Business reporting on the SDGs: An analysis of the goals and targets*. United Nations.

Vogel, D. (2008). Private global business regulation. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11, 261–282.

Waddock, S. (2008). Building a new institutional infrastructure for corporate responsibility. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 22(3), 87–108.

Zhao, Y. (2024). AI applications in ESG and corporate sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Innovation*, 6(1), 12–34.