

STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FOR RURAL ENTREPRENEURIAL RESILIENCE: A TALENT DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK FOR POST-PANDEMIC INCLUSIVE GROWTH

Giri Nurpribadi^{1*}, Erina Rulianti², Sri Wahyuningsih³, Karyono⁴, Dadang Heri Kusumah⁵

¹⁻⁵Faculty of Economics and Business/Pelita Bangsa University, Bekasi, Indonesia

*Email corresponding author: giri.nurpribadi@pelitabangsa.ac.id

Abstract

Rural entrepreneurship has emerged as a critical driver of post-pandemic economic recovery, yet its success fundamentally depends on the availability, development, and retention of human capital. This conceptual article bridges human resource management (HRM) theory with rural development economics to address a critical gap: how rural enterprises can build entrepreneurial resilience through strategic human resource practices despite geographic, institutional, and resource constraints. Synthesizing evidence from 20 Scopus Q1 journal articles published between 2021-2026, we identify three core findings. First, rural firms face distinct HRM challenges including thin labor markets, skill mismatches, and limited access to formal training institutions, yet they leverage informal networks and community embeddedness as compensatory mechanisms. Second, talent development in rural contexts requires context-sensitive approaches that combine digital upskilling with place-based retention strategies, including career pathing aligned with rural lifestyle preferences. Third, entrepreneurial resilience—the capacity to absorb, adapt, and transform in response to shocks—is mediated by HRM practices that foster psychological capital, knowledge sharing, and adaptive leadership. We propose the RETAIN Framework (Recruitment adapted to rural contexts, Embeddedness through community ties, Training via blended digital-local delivery, Advancement through non-traditional pathways, Inclusion of diverse talent pools, and Network-enabled learning) as a strategic guide for rural entrepreneurs, development practitioners, and policymakers. The framework contributes to HRM literature by extending strategic human capital theory to resource-constrained rural settings and offers actionable implications for building entrepreneurial ecosystems that prioritize people before infrastructure.

Keywords: Human resource management, rural entrepreneurship, talent development, entrepreneurial resilience, strategic human capital, post-pandemic recovery, inclusive growth

INTRODUCTION

The post-pandemic era has fundamentally reshaped the landscape of rural entrepreneurship. While digital infrastructure and market access have received substantial policy attention, a critical yet underaddressed factor determines long-term entrepreneurial success: **human capital**. Rural enterprises—ranging from agritourism ventures to digital startups in non-metropolitan areas—face unique human resource challenges that their urban counterparts do not encounter (Bosworth, Price, & Lang, 2024). Thin labor markets, geographic isolation from training institutions, and difficulty attracting skilled professionals create persistent talent constraints that undermine rural development efforts.

The COVID-19 pandemic exposed and amplified these vulnerabilities. Rural firms experienced sharper declines in workforce availability due to health crises, caregiving responsibilities, and out-migration of younger workers to urban centers (Pan, Fan, & Goetz, 2026). Yet, paradoxically, the pandemic also created opportunities: remote work technologies enabled some rural areas to

attract telecommuting professionals, and returning migrants brought new skills and entrepreneurial aspirations (Qureshi & Xiong, 2023). These dynamics suggest that rural HRM is not simply a constrained version of urban HRM but requires fundamentally different strategic approaches.

Despite growing recognition of human capital's importance for rural development, the intersection of strategic human resource management (HRM) and rural entrepreneurship remains theoretically underdeveloped. Systematic reviews of rural entrepreneurship (Putra, Sukoco, & Wu, 2026) identify human capital as a recurring theme but note that most studies treat it as a contextual variable rather than a strategic lever that can be actively managed. Furthermore, mainstream HRM literature has been predominantly urban-centric, assuming labor market conditions, institutional infrastructures, and career expectations that do not hold in rural settings (Müller & Korsgaard, 2024).

This article addresses the central research question: **How can strategic human resource management practices be adapted to build entrepreneurial resilience in rural enterprises facing resource constraints and geographic isolation?** Determine on strategic human capital theory, resilience theory, and place-based rural development literature, we develop a framework for rural talent development that is both theoretically grounded and practically actionable. The article contributes to three literatures: (1) HRM by extending strategic human capital theory to resource-constrained rural contexts, (2) rural entrepreneurship by specifying the human resource mechanisms underlying entrepreneurial resilience, and (3) development economics by identifying human capital interventions that complement infrastructure investments.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

Strategic Human Capital Theory in Rural Contexts

Strategic human capital theory posits that firms gain competitive advantage through human resources that are valuable, rare, inimitable, and non-substitutable (VRIN). However, this theory has been developed almost exclusively in urban, industrial contexts where labor markets are thick, educational institutions are accessible, and professional networks are dense. Rural contexts violate these assumptions in systematic ways (Audretsch & Belitski, 2023).

In rural areas, labor markets are characterized by low population density, limited occupational diversity, and skill mismatches where available workers may not possess needed competencies. The VRIN criteria operate differently: human capital may be rare but also difficult to attract and retain; it may be valuable but imitable through informal learning; and substitution may occur through technology rather than other workers. Resourceful sensemaking—how rural entrepreneurs overcome barriers by creatively mobilizing available resources—becomes a critical competency (Müller & Korsgaard, 2024).

Empirical evidence from rural U.S. firms indicates that innovation in rural enterprises is systematically underreported, partly because rural entrepreneurs do not recognize their own innovative activities as "innovation" in formal terms (Han et al., 2024). This measurement bias has implications for HRM: rural firms may possess hidden human capital that is not captured by conventional assessment methods, requiring different approaches to talent identification and development.

HRM Challenges Specific to Rural Enterprises

Recent empirical research has identified five categories of HRM challenges unique to rural enterprises:

First, attraction challenges: Rural firms struggle to recruit skilled professionals due to perceived lower quality of life, limited career advancement opportunities, and spouse employment constraints. Even when rural areas offer objective advantages (lower cost of living, natural amenities), subjective perceptions often deter potential applicants (Bosworth, Price, & Lang, 2024).

Second, development challenges: Access to formal training and development programs is limited by geographic distance from educational institutions and the high per-trainee cost of bringing trainers to remote locations. Digital training solutions are promising but require reliable broadband infrastructure—still unavailable in many rural areas (Schmidt et al., 2024).

Third, retention challenges: Even when rural firms successfully attract talent, retention rates are often lower than urban benchmarks. The absence of career ladders, professional isolation, and limited alternative employment options for spouses drive turnover (Gherhes, Vorley, & Williams, 2023).

Fourth, succession challenges: Many rural enterprises—particularly family-owned farms and small businesses—face leadership succession crises as younger generations migrate to urban areas. The lack of succession planning mechanisms threatens business continuity and community economic stability (Stepien & Matys, 2023).

Fifth, diversity challenges: Rural labor markets often lack demographic diversity, which limits the range of perspectives and experiences available to rural entrepreneurs. Women entrepreneurs in rural areas face particular challenges due to gendered expectations, caregiving responsibilities, and limited access to female professional networks (Schmidt, Deller, & Goetz, 2024).

Entrepreneurial Resilience: The Human Capital Dimension

Resilience—the capacity to absorb, adapt, and transform in response to shocks—has gained renewed attention following the COVID-19 pandemic. For rural enterprises, resilience operates at multiple levels: individual (entrepreneur psychological resilience), organizational (firm adaptive capacity), and systemic (regional entrepreneurial ecosystem robustness) (Benfica & Henderson, 2021).

The HRM literature has established that psychological capital—comprising hope, efficacy, resilience, and optimism—mediates the relationship between organizational support and employee outcomes. In rural contexts, psychological capital may be even more critical because rural entrepreneurs face more frequent and severe shocks (climate events, commodity price volatility, infrastructure failures) with fewer institutional supports (Pan, Fan, & Goetz, 2026).

Knowledge sharing is another human capital mechanism underlying resilience. Rural entrepreneurs who maintain diverse networks—both local (within community) and non-local (with urban professionals, academics, and policymakers)—access a wider range of problem-solving resources during crises. However, rural entrepreneurs often have weaker non-local networks due to geographic isolation and time constraints (Qureshi & Xiong, 2023).

Place-Based HRM and Community Embeddedness

A distinctive insight from recent rural entrepreneurship research is that HRM in rural contexts cannot be separated from community dynamics. Rural enterprises are deeply embedded in local social structures; their employees are also neighbors, church members, and fellow parents at the local school. This embeddedness creates both opportunities and constraints (Müller & Korsgaard, 2024).

On the opportunity side, community embeddedness reduces monitoring costs (social pressure encourages effort), increases retention (leaving means leaving the community, not just a job), and facilitates informal knowledge transfer (learning happens at the coffee shop, not just in training sessions). On the constraint side, embeddedness limits disciplinary actions (firing a neighbor damages social relationships), creates role conflicts (the entrepreneur as both boss and community leader), and may perpetuate homogeneity rather than bringing in diverse perspectives (Gliedt & Parker, 2022).

Place-based HRM recognizes that human resource practices must be adapted to local cultural norms, labor market conditions, and community expectations. Standardized HRM practices developed in corporate settings may be inappropriate or counterproductive in rural enterprises.

For example, performance-based pay may undermine the reciprocity norms that characterize rural employment relationships (Bosworth, Price, & Lang, 2024).

THE RETAIN FRAMEWORK FOR RURAL TALENT DEVELOPMENT

Based on synthesis of the theoretical and empirical literature, we propose the **RETAIN Framework**—a strategic HRM framework specifically designed for rural entrepreneurial contexts. The framework comprises six interconnected components:

Recruitment Adapted to Rural Contexts

Effective recruitment in rural areas requires moving beyond conventional job postings and leveraging community-embedded channels. Strategies include:

- **Community referral programs** that incentivize current employees to recruit from their social networks
- **Return migrant pipelines** that maintain relationships with community members who have moved away for education or early career experience
- **Lifestyle marketing** that emphasizes rural quality-of-life advantages (space, nature, community) rather than competing with urban salaries
- **Flexible work arrangements** including remote, hybrid, and seasonal options that accommodate the realities of rural life

Evidence suggests that rural firms that explicitly articulate their value proposition beyond compensation—emphasizing autonomy, purpose, and community impact—achieve better recruitment outcomes than those attempting to match urban salary levels (Han, Wojan, & Goetz, 2024).

Embeddedness through Community Ties

Retention in rural contexts depends heavily on how well employees become integrated into community social structures. Strategic HRM practices that foster embeddedness include:

- **Onboarding that includes community orientation** alongside organizational orientation
- **Spouse employment assistance** recognizing that dual-career challenges drive rural turnover
- **Housing support** in tight rural housing markets
- **Community engagement opportunities** that position employees as community contributors, not just workers

Community embeddedness functions as a retention mechanism that is difficult for urban competitors to replicate, giving rural enterprises a distinctive advantage when properly leveraged (Müller & Korsgaard, 2024).

Training via Blended Digital-Local Delivery

The geographic isolation of rural areas makes conventional training delivery (centralized, in-person, periodic) prohibitively expensive. Blended delivery models combine:

- **Digital foundational content** delivered asynchronously via online platforms
- **Local facilitation** by community-based trainers who provide context and support
- **Peer learning** cohorts that build local learning communities
- **Mobile training units** that bring hands-on instruction to remote locations on rotating schedules

The effectiveness of digital training depends critically on broadband infrastructure. Policy interventions that expand rural broadband simultaneously expand training accessibility (Schmidt et al., 2024). However, digital solutions alone are insufficient; local facilitation addresses the isolation and motivation challenges that rural learners face.

Advancement through Non-Traditional Pathways

Rural firms often lack the hierarchical structures that provide conventional career advancement. Non-traditional pathways include:

- **Skill-based lattices** rather than hierarchical ladders, allowing employees to deepen expertise without changing positions
- **Entrepreneurial spin-off support** encouraging employees to launch complementary ventures
- **Cross-training and role rotation** building versatile generalists rather than narrow specialists
- **External credentialing support** funding certifications and degrees that enhance portability

Younger workers increasingly value development opportunities over immediate compensation. Rural firms that articulate clear skill development trajectories—even without formal promotions—improve retention among this demographic (Audretsch & Belitski, 2023).

Inclusion of Diverse Talent Pools

Rural labor markets are demographically less diverse than urban markets, but within this constraint, rural firms can pursue inclusion strategies:

- **Women's entrepreneurship support** addressing gendered barriers through childcare assistance, flexible schedules, and women's mentoring networks
- **Remote professional integration** bringing urban professionals who have relocated to rural areas into the organizational mainstream
- **Youth apprenticeship models** building pipelines from local schools to rural enterprises
- **Second-career recruitment** targeting retirees, military veterans, and career-changers who value rural lifestyle

Inclusion is not merely a compliance issue but a strategic necessity: diverse teams bring broader problem-solving perspectives, which is particularly valuable for rural enterprises facing complex adaptive challenges (Schmidt, Deller, & Goetz, 2024).

Network-Enabled Learning

Individual rural enterprises have limited capacity to develop and deliver comprehensive HRM programs. Network-enabled learning leverages inter-organizational collaboration:

- **Employer consortia** that share training resources, pool recruitment efforts, and benchmark practices
- **Sectoral networks** connecting similar enterprises across geographic regions
- **University extension partnerships** bringing academic expertise to rural HRM challenges
- **Digital communities of practice** enabling peer learning beyond local boundaries

Network approaches overcome the scale disadvantages of individual rural firms. By collaborating, rural enterprises can access HRM resources comparable to those of much larger urban organizations (Qureshi & Xiong, 2023).

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS AND RESEARCH AGENDA

Implications for Rural Entrepreneurs

For rural entrepreneurs, the RETAIN Framework suggests that human resource management should be treated as a strategic priority, not an administrative afterthought. Specific actions include:

- **Conducting human capital audits** to identify skill gaps, succession risks, and retention vulnerabilities
- **Investing in community relationships** recognizing that employee retention depends on community embeddedness
- **Building network connections** with other rural employers, educational institutions, and professional associations

- **Articulating a compelling people strategy** that aligns with the distinctive value proposition of rural enterprise

The most successful rural entrepreneurs are those who view their workforce as partners in value creation rather than costs to be minimized (Gherhes, Vorley, & Williams, 2023).

Implications for Policymakers

Rural development policy has historically focused on physical infrastructure (roads, broadband) and financial capital (loans, grants). The RETAIN Framework suggests that human capital infrastructure deserves equal attention:

- **Rural HRM technical assistance** providing small rural enterprises with professional HRM expertise they cannot afford individually
- **Training vouchers** that give rural workers purchasing power to select training providers
- **Housing and childcare investments** addressing basic enablers of workforce participation
- **Talent attraction programs** that market rural lifestyle advantages to urban professionals

Policy interventions that bundle infrastructure, finance, and human capital supports are more effective than single-domain approaches (Benfica & Henderson, 2021).

Implications for HRM Scholars

For HRM researchers, rural contexts offer natural laboratories for testing theory boundaries.

Priority research questions include:

- How does community embeddedness moderate the relationship between HRM practices and performance outcomes?
- Do VRIN criteria for strategic human capital operate differently in thin labor markets?
- What is the optimal balance between digital and local delivery for rural training programs?
- How does the employer-employee relationship differ when both parties are embedded in the same community?

Longitudinal studies tracking rural enterprises through shocks (climate events, market disruptions, leadership transitions) are particularly valuable for understanding resilience mechanisms (Pan, Fan, & Goetz, 2026).

Future Research Directions as a Research Method

Based on gaps identified in the literature, we recommend six priority research directions:

1. **Longitudinal cohort studies** tracking rural entrepreneurs and their employees over time to identify HRM practices associated with venture survival and growth
2. **Comparative case studies** of rural enterprises in different sectors, regions, and institutional environments to contextualize the RETAIN Framework
3. **Randomized field experiments** testing the causal impact of specific HRM interventions (e.g., training vouchers, mentorship programs) on enterprise outcomes
4. **Mixed-methods studies** combining survey data with qualitative interviews to understand the mechanisms linking HRM practices to resilience
5. **Cross-national comparative research** examining how different policy regimes shape rural HRM practices and outcomes
6. **Methodological research** addressing measurement challenges in rural HRM, including the validation of rural-specific constructs and instruments

Option one until six, explanations about research methods, we think and we consider regarding integrated research method such an analysis and indepth studies about human capital as a continuously, from interview until mapping. Mapping in regional, with detail concern to Village to gain validation of rural specific constructs and also instruments. All data will be combined as a entity theoretically and hypothesis, with indirect decision sometimes, with mention some qualification so that increasing quality of Life.

CONCLUSION

This article has advanced the argument that human resource management is not merely a supporting function for rural entrepreneurship but a strategic determinant of entrepreneurial resilience and inclusive growth. The retain Framework provides a theoretically grounded and practically actionable approach to rural talent development that addresses the distinctive challenges of thin labor markets, geographic isolation, and community embeddedness.

The post-pandemic era offers a window of opportunity for rural development. Remote work technologies have reduced the penalties of geographic distance. Returning migrants bring new skills and entrepreneurial aspirations. Rising environmental consciousness creates market opportunities for rural products and services. However, these opportunities will be realized only if rural enterprises have the human capital to seize them.

Strategic HRM in rural contexts is not simply than urban HRM with constraints. It requires different assumptions, different practices, and different metrics of success. Community embeddedness, once viewed as a limitation, becomes an asset when properly leveraged. Informal networks, once seen as a poor substitute for formal institutions, become mechanisms for resilience. Local knowledge, once dismissed as parochial, becomes a source of distinctive competence.

The ICORE 2026 conference provides a forum for refining these ideas through dialogue among researchers, practitioners, and policymakers. We invite critical engagement with the RETAIN Framework—its extensions, modifications, and empirical testing across diverse rural contexts. The future of rural development depends not only on connecting rural places to global markets but on developing the people who live there.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Audretsch, D. B., & Belitski, M. (2023). The knowledge spillover theory of entrepreneurship in rural areas. *Small Business Economics*, 60(3), 1025-1045.
- Benfica, R., & Henderson, H. (2021). The effect of the sectoral composition of economic growth on rural and urban poverty. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 67(1), 248-284.
- Bosworth, G., Price, L., & Lang, T. (2024). Rural enterprise and the digital divide: From infrastructure to capabilities. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 105, 103185.
- Gherhes, C., Vorley, T., & Williams, N. (2023). The role of place in digital entrepreneurship: Evidence from rural and urban areas. *Regional Studies*, 57(4), 678-692.
- Gliedt, T., & Parker, P. (2022). Green economy and sustainable development in rural regions. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 89, 234-245.
- Han, L., Tian, Z., Wojan, T. R., & Goetz, S. J. (2024). Testing biasedness of self-reported microbusiness innovation. *PLoS ONE*, 19(1), e0296789.
- Han, L., Wojan, T. R., & Goetz, S. J. (2024). Cloud computing and rural globalization: Evidence for the U.S. nonfarm economy. *Telecommunications Policy*, 48(6), 102789.
- Han, L., Wojan, T. R., Rupasingha, A., & Goetz, S. J. (2025). Rural innovative firms and credit: Findings from the annual business survey. *Elsevier Finance Research Open*, 2(1), 100045.
- Han, L., Wojan, T. R., Tian, Z., & Goetz, S. J. (2025). Explaining the urban-rural export gap: Evidence from U.S. firms. *Journal of the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association*, 4(1), 45-63.

- Hollas, C. R., Schmidt, C., Tian, Z., Goetz, S. J., & Chase, L. (2024). Insights and oversights: Behind the data on agritourism and direct sales in the United States. *Journal of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Community Development*, 13(4), 1-18.
- Müller, S., & Korsgaard, S. (2024). Resourceful sensemaking: Overcoming barriers to entrepreneurship in rural communities. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 36(1-2), 89-112.
- Pan, Y., Fan, L., & Goetz, S. (2026). Economic shocks, food insufficiency and mental health: Evidence from the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLoS ONE*, 21(3), e0251234.
- Putra, I. K., Sukoco, B. M., & Wu, W.-Y. (2026). Landscape and opportunity of rural entrepreneurship: Systematic literature review. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 15(1), 1-25.
- Qureshi, S., & Xiong, J. (2023). Digital transformation and inclusive growth in rural economies. *Information Technology for Development*, 29(1), 1-18.
- Schmidt, C., Deller, S. C., & Goetz, S. J. (2024). Women farmers and community well-being under modeling uncertainty. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 46(2), 512-531.
- Schmidt, C., Han, L., Moghadam, A., & Goetz, S. J. (2024). Broadband access and agritourism operations in the United States. *Journal of the Agricultural and Applied Economics Association*, 3(2), 289-305.
- Stepien, S., & Małys, Ł. (2023). Sustainable entrepreneurship in rural areas. *Entrepreneurial Business and Economics Review*, 11(2), 7-22.
- Wojan, T., Han, L., Tian, Z., & Goetz, S. J. (2025). Design: A critical input to rural innovative entrepreneurship. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 14(1), 1-22.
- Beltrán-Martín, I., & Roca-Puig, V. (2024). Human resource flexibility and organizational resilience in SMEs. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 27(2), 145-162.
- Cooke, F. L., & Xiao, M. (2025). Human resource management in rural China: Institutional constraints and entrepreneurial responses. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, 63(1), e12415